UNITED STATES I FOR THE DISTRICT C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
THOMAS STALCUP	
Plaintiff,	Charles 15
v.) Civil Action No.XXXXX
NAVAL SPECIAL WARFARE COMMAND 2000 Trident Way San Diego, CA 92155)))
Defendant.))

I Parties

- 1. The plaintiff, THOMAS STALCUP at all times relevant to the allegations of this Complaint, is a citizen of the United States of America and has been a resident of the Town of Sandwich, Barnstable County, in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 2. Defendant the Naval Special Warfare Command ("NSWC") is a department of the U.S. Navy. The NSWC is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1) (2010). The Navy SEALS ("SEALS") is a component of the NSWC.

II. JURISDICTION & VENUE

3. Subject matter jurisdiction exists pursuant to 5 USC § 552(a)(4)(B) which grants a district court of the United States jurisdiction to enjoin an agency from improperly withholding records and to order said agency to produce such records to the complainant. Venue is proper in the District of Massachusetts under 5 USC § 552(a)(4)(B) which grants jurisdiction to the district court in the district in which the complainant, Chairman of the Flight 800 Independent Researchers Organization, Thomas F. Stalcup, lives.

4. Plaintiff Stalcup, has exhausted his administrative remedies, and is therefore entitled to judicial review of this claim pursuant to 5 USC § 552(a)(4)(B).

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Navy SEALS Participated in the 1996 TWA Flight 800 Crash Recovery Efforts

- 5. The SEALS are part of the NSWC and are an elite branch of the US Navy that defend our nation and our allies worldwide.
- In fulfilling this responsibility, the SEALS train extensively underwater, including underwater explosives ordinance disposal.
- 7. The SEALS participated in the recovery effort after the July 17, 1996 crash of TWA Flight 800, which crashed approximately ten miles off the coast of Long Island, New York.
- 8. The SEALS are made up of various groups, units, and teams, including "Special Boat Unit Twenty", "Special Warfare Group Two", and "SEAL Delivery Vehicle Team Two".
- 9. An official website published by the U.S. Navy states that Special Boat Unit Twenty, together with divers from Special Warfare Group Two and SEAL Delivery Vehicle Team Two participated in the TWA Flight 800 recovery efforts. As of the writing of this complaint, the official Navy website listing these participants is accessible at: http://www.navy.mil/navydata/navy_legacy_hr.asp?id=290. A printed copy of this website is attached to this complaint as **Exhibit A.**

Plaintiff Stalcup Submitted a FOIA Request to United States Special Operations Command Regarding Navy SEAL Activity in the New York Area in 1996

10. On March 30, 2010, Plaintiff Stalcup transmitted, via an Internet submission form, a written FOIA request to the United States Special Operations Command in Tampa, FL, who then forwarded this request to the NSWC in San Diego, CA. The request was for all data, imagery, video, memos, communication,

reports, emails or any other information regarding any and all Navy Seal activity within 100 miles of the coast of Long Island, NY during the months of July and August 1996. In addition to the above request, Plaintiff Stalcup specifically requested any and all agency records that include:

- a. the names, locations, and dates of any and all missions, exercises, or other activity on July 17th and 18th, 1996;
 - b. the names of all participating Seal teams and/or units in these activities
 - c. detailed descriptions of these activities
- d. any and all information regarding any mishaps or successful engagements these Seal teams or units witnessed and/or were responsible for
 - e. any information that mentions or discusses the downing or loss of any aircraft.
- f. the names, locations, and dates of any and all missions, exercises, or other activity on or after July 17th, 1996 involving the recovery of floating or submerged debris from TWA Flight 800, a drone, a missile, or any other type of ordnance within 100 miles of the coast of Long Island, NY
 - g. the names of all participating Seal teams and/or units in these recovery activities
 - h. detailed descriptions photos, and/or videos of these activities and any items recovered.
- 11. Plaintiff Stalcup also requested a waiver of fees because Plaintiff Stalcup's interest in the records is not primarily commercial and disclosure of the information will contribute significantly to public understanding of the events leading up to present status of the federal investigation into the crash of TWA Flight 800.

The NSWC Failed to Locate Responsive Documents

12. Plaintiff Stalcup received a letter from NSWC dated April 27, 2010 stating that no responsive documents were located after searching the "Command Public Affairs Office, Command Historian's

Office, Command Operations Directorate, Naval Special Warfare Group TWO, and Naval Warfare Development Group."

13. NSWC notified Plaintiff Stalcup of its right to an administrative appeal and instructed Plaintiff Stalcup to send any such appeal to the Judge Advocate General of the Navy in Washington, D.C.

Plaintiff Stalcup Filed an Administrative Appeal with Judge Advocate General of the Navy

- 14. On June 14, 2010, Plaintiff Stalcup sent via certified mail an administrative appeal to the Judge Advocate General of the Navy ("Plaintiff Stalcup's Administrative Appeal").
- 15. Plaintiff Stalcup's Administrative Appeal appealed the NSWC's failure locate responsive documents, "because the 1996 crash of TWA Flight 800 was an historic event and the Seals' documented involvement in the search and recovery effort ten miles off the coast of Long Island required extensive planning, coordination, and communication between the Seals and the various agencies involved in the recovery effort." Plaintiff Stalcup's appeal went on to say that such "an effort would have resulted in volumes of documents, communications, and reports regarding this complex operation."
- 16. Plaintiff Stalcup's Administrative Appeal also provided an official Navy Internet link listing the specific SEAL unit involved in the recovery operation, as well as other Seal groups who provided divers to assist in the recovery effort.

Judge Advocate General of the Navy Denies Plaintiff Stalcup's Administrative Appeal

- 17. Plaintiff Stalcup received a letter dated July 15, 2010 from the Judge Advocate General of the Navy ("JAG") denying Plaintiff Stalcup's Administrative Appeal.
- 18. JAG based its denial on a determination that the NSWC conducted an adequate search by searching "its hard copy and electronic records located within its Command Public Affairs Office,

Command Historian's Office, Command Operations Directorate, Naval Special Warfare Group TWO (NSWG-2), Naval Special Warfare Group FOUR (NSWG-4) and Naval Special Warfare Development Group (NSWDG) and its component commands, including Special Boat Unit TWENTY."

- 19. JAG also informed Plaintiff Stalcup that upon receipt of Plaintiff Stalcup's appeal, a responsive document was located by the NSWC command historian, who located it at the Naval Historical Center in Washington, D.C. The document located is the 1996 Command History of the Navy Special Warfare Group TWO (NSWG-2). JAG informed Plaintiff Stalcup that this document will be provided to Plaintiff Stalcup by NSWC.
- 20. Command Histories are brief summaries of a specific Navy group, ship, unit, air-wing, etc.

 Although JAG stated that NSWC would be providing the NSWG-2 1996 Command History, Plaintiff

 Stalcup has not yet received this document. Now, at the writing of this complaint, many months have
 passed from the date Plaintiff Stalcup received JAG's letter and assurance that the NSWG-2 1996

 Command History document would be provided.
- 21. Although JAG stated that the NSWC's search was "adequate and reasonably calculated to uncover all relevant documents," that search located no responsive documents. A subsequent search located a responsive document.
- 22. Due to the high-profile nature of the recovery operation of TWA Flight 800, a commercial 747 aircraft that crashed only ten miles off of Long Island in 1996, hundreds if not thousands of documents likely exist regarding the Navy SEALS' well documented involvement in the salvage operations.

 Documents from these operations must certainly be maintained somewhere in the NSWC offices, and they should be readily available to any FOIA officer conducting a reasonably calculated search. If not, then the NSWC is obligated to forward the initial FOIA request to the appropriate office or agency that maintains such records.

Count I Violation of the FOIA: Failure to Conduct and Adequate Search

- 23. Paragraphs 1-22 above are hereby incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein.
- 24. The adequacy of the NSWC's search under the FOIA is determined by a test of "reasonableness." The NSWC's search was not "reasonably calculated to uncover all relevant documents." (157)
- 25. Plaintiff Stalcup has exhausted the applicable administrative remedies with respect to Plaintiff Stalcup's

FOIA Request.

- 26. The NSWC has wrongly withheld responsive agency records from Plaintiff Stalcup.
- 27. Plaintiff Stalcup is entitled to injunctive relief compelling the release and disclosure of the requested agency records.

Requested Relief

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that this Court:

- A. order defendant to conduct an adequate search for agency records responsive to Plaintiff
 Stalcup's
 - FOIA Request within five working days of the date of the Court's Order in this matter, with such searching including but not limited all offices of the NSWC, and where appropriate, to forward the original FOIA request to any other agency or office that may maintain such records;
- B. order defendant to produce all responsive agency records within ten business days of the Court's Order in this matter;

C. award plaintiff its costs and reasonable legal fees incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E) (2010); and grant such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: August 13, 2013

For the Plaintiff, Thomas Stalcup

Pro Se,

Thomas F. Stalcup, PhD 8 Peters Pond Dr. Sandwich, MA 02644 (774) 392-0856 stalcupt@gmail.com

157. Weisberg, 705 F.2d at 1351; see, e.g., Johnston v. United States Dep't of Justice, No. 97-2173, 1998 WL 518529, at *1 (8th Cir. Aug. 10, 1998) (concluding that agency demonstrated that it conducted search reasonably calculated to uncover all responsive documents); Campbell v. United States Dep't of Justice, 164 F.3d 20, 27 (D.C. Cir. 1998) (noting that an agency must search "using methods which can be reasonably expected to produce the information requested" (quoting Oglesby v. United States Dep't of the Army, 920 F.2d 57, 68 (D.C. Cir. 1990))); Miller v. United States Dep't of State, 779 F.2d 1378, 1383 (8th Cir. 1985) (recognizing that search must be "reasonably calculated to uncover all relevant documents" (quoting Weisberg, 705 F.2d at 1351)); cf. Comer v. IRS, No. 97-76329, 2000 WL 1566279, at *2 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 17, 2000) ("[T]he government is not required to expend the same efforts under FOIA that it would in response to a litigation-specific document request."). But see Al Najjar v. Ashcroft, No. 00-1472, slip op. at 4-5 (D.D.C. July 22, 2003) (acknowledging that the agency's "affidavit on its face tends to establish the adequacy of the search," yet nonetheless requiring the agency to conduct a further search "in the interest of ensuring a complete resolution of this matter" and in light of the "limited burden" that the further search ostensibly would impose).

Exhibit A

Subscribe to Navy News Service

Search Navy.mil

Advanced Search

HOME

ABOUT

LEADERSHIP

NEWS

MEDIA

LINKS

CAREERS

NAVY POD

TWA FLIGHT 800 NAVY SUPPORT TO THE RECOVERY EFFORTS

Participating Navy units and commands

- · Combat Logistics Group Two
- Naval Sea Systems Command (00C)
- USS Grasp (ARS 51)
- USS Grapple (ARS 53)
- . USS Oak Hill (LSD 51)
- USS Trenton (LPD 14)
- Helicopter Support Squadron Eight
- Helicopter Support Squadron Six
- Amphibious Craft Unit Two
- · Amphibious Construction Battattion Two
- Special Boat Unit Twenty
- Naval Reserve Inshore Boat unit Two Three
- New York Naval Militia

Units and command providing Navy divers

- USS Grasp (ARS 51)
- USS Grapple (ARS 53)
- · Explosive Ordnance Disposal Mobile Unit Two
- Explosive Ordnance Disposal Mobile Unit Six
- · Mobile Diving and Salvage Unit Two
- · Ships Intermediate Maintenance Activity, Norfolk, Va.
- Naval Medical Research Institute
- · Navy Experimental Diving Unit
- · Naval Diving and Salvage Training Center
- USS Shenandoah (AD 44)
- . USS Emory S. Land (AS 39)
- USS L. Y. Spear (AS 36)
- · Special Warfare Group Two
- . SEAL Delivery Vehicle Team Two
- · Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory, Groton, Conn.

Under Navy contract

- · Oceaneering Technology
- M/V Pirouette
- M/V Diage G. M/V Marion C II
- SAIC

Total Navy and contract personnel: 1,450

Maximum number of Navy personnel on station: 783

Reviewed: 16 September 2009

D SHARE . 2 4 8 ...

Home

About Status of the Navy

Navy Organization Command Directory

Our Ships Fact Files Today in Naval History

Contact Us FAO

Leadership Secretary of the Navy Chief Of Nava Operations Master Chief Petty

Officer Of The Navy Chief Of Naval Personnel Biographies SES Biographies Navy Command

News Top Stories Headlines Feature Stories

News Video Gallery Local Stories Photo Gallery Featured Galleries All Hands Magazine Archive Graphics Gallery Web Ad Gallery Print Ad Gallery Podcasts & RSS

Media

All Hands Update

All Hands Radio

The U.S. Navy

Case 1:13-cv-11966-WGY Document 1-1 Filed 08/15/13 Page 3 of 3 of 3 careers

Links Shift Colors-Navy Retiree Newsletter Naval History & Affected Navy Family Assistance Navy / Marine Corps

Navy Office of Community Outreach Joint Chiefs of Staff Military One Source Navy Personnel Navy Knowledge Online

Pentagon Channel USA.gov

Defense.gov U.S. Army

U.S. Air Force U.S. Marine Corps

U.S. Coast Guard Navy Reserve Navy SAPR

Official Website of the United States Navy

Chief of Information Attn: US Navy 1200 Navy Pentagon Washington DC 20350-1200

FOIA - Privacy Policy - No Fear Act Accessibility/Section 508

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE THE RUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	S CLERKS OFF	ICE		DEFENDAN	NTS	, , ,	^ ~	`	_	
Tho		קט		Naval Spe	e Cia	1 Wa	rtare (omman.	J	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Harning 12: 45				County of Resid	lence o					
$\mathcal{D}^{(k)}$	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	uses) OURT		NOTE: IN LAN	D CO	NDEMNAT	<i>PLAINTIFF CASE</i> TION CASES, US	ES ONLY) E THE LOCATION	N OF	
Bains	OF MA	221		THE TR	RACT	OF LAND	NVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,)	Address, and Telephone Number	De De		Attorneys (If Kin	own)					
Pa	So Sold	with, MA 026	44	İ						
110	カス ラスス	4 392 085		[
II. BASIS OF JURISDI				TIZENSHIP O	F PF	RINCIP	AL PARTIE	ES (Place an "X"	in One Box j	or Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S Government	☐ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases O	nly) PT	F DEF		and One Box	for Defende PTF	ent) DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	X	1 3 1		r Principal Place In This State	J 4	J 4
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	J	2 💆 2	! Incorporated an		J 5	J 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Rem III)				^		In Another State		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	כ	3 3 3	Foreign Nation	1		J 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ily) IRTS	1. E0	ORFEITURE/PENAL	TY I	BA	NKRUPTCY	T OTHE	RASIVATUIT	ES
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	_	5 Drug Related Seizure		☐ 422 App	eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	7.69	of Property 21 USC 9 0 Other	881	☐ 423 Wit	hdrawal USC 157	☐ 400 State		nment
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care	12 %	o Omer				☐ 430 Bank		ng
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical	- 1				RTYRIGHTS	☐ 450 Com ☐ 460 Depo		_
D 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			J	☐ \$20 Cop ☐ \$30 Pate		☐ 470 Rado		iced and
3 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 A sbestos Personal				☐ 840 Tra	demark		ipt Organiza	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	12.4	LABOR		SOCIA	SECURITY	☐ 480 Cons		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	TY 0 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	;	□ 861 HL	(1395ff)	☐ 850 Secur		odities
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	[_ ,	Act		□ 862 B 1a	ck Lung (923)		ange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	12 /4	O Labor Management Relations	ſ		VC DIWW (405(g D Tide XVI		r Statutory A cultural Acts	
3 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act		☐ 865 RSI		. □ 893 Envir	ronmental M	atters
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	3 75	I Family and Medical Leave Act]			895 Freed Act	iom of Infon	mation
	Medical Malpractice			O Other Labor Litigatio				□ 896 Arbit		
REAL-PROPERTY	CIVIL RICHTS	PRISONER PETITION	4S ⊃ 79	1 Employee Retirement			CAL TAX SUITS			
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foredosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 2 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	- 1		es (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant)		leview or Ap cv Decision	opeal of
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	.]			☐ 871 IRS	-Third Party	☐ 950 Cons	titutionality	of
☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing	Sentence 3 530 General				26	USC 7609	State	Statutes	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 3 445 Amer, w Disabilities -		-	IMMIGRATION				1		
	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Applic	cation					
	Other Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights	er 13 40	55 Other Immigration Actions	J			1		
	☐ 448 Education	3 555 Prison Condition			J			1		
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	-		J			Ì		
		Confinement						i		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" h	One Box Only)									
¥ 1 Original □ 2 Rea		Remanded from Appellate Court		pened Ar	nother	red from District	⊃ 6 Multid Litigat			
	Gte the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you w	e filing/	De not cite furisdictions	necify) nel statu	ues uniess a	liversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of ca	mse: 332(a)	(a)(e	V	1	<u> </u>	. + ^1			4.10
	Failure	to comply	WIT		KCH			easing do		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	1 D	EMAND \$			JURY DEMAN	nly if demanded ND: ☐ Yes		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)									
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE \$ /13/13	4	GNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										

APPLYING IFP

AMOUNT

RECEIPT =

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

Case 1:13-cv-11966-WGY Document 1-2 Filed 08/15/13 Page 2 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	Category in which	ch the case belongs b	ased upon the	numbered nature of su	uit code liste	ed on the civ	il cover sheet.	(See local
ſ	Y 1				-			
L	L.	410, 441, 470, 535,	830*, 891, 893,	895, R.23, REGARDLE	SS OF NAT	URE OF SUIT		
	II.	110, 130, 140, 160, 740, 790, 820°, 840°		240, 290,320,362, 370, 3 1.	371, 380, 430	0, 440, 442, 4	43, 445, 446, 4	48, 710, 720,
	III.			220, 245, 310, 315, 330 180, 490, 510, 530, 540,				
		'Also complete AO	120 or AO 121	. for patent, trademark	or copyrigh	nt cases.		
				rule 40.1(g)). If more t st flied case in this co		or related ca	se has been fil	ed in this
	Has a prior actio	n between the same ;	parties and bas	ed on the same claim	ever been fi	led in this co	urt?	
					YES	NO	X	
	Does the comple §2403)	aint in this case quest	ion the constitu	utionality of an act of c	ongress aff	ecting the pu	ıblic interest?	(See 28 U
	If so, is the U.S.	۹. or an officer, agent	or employee of	fthe U.S. a part∨?	YES	No	X	
		a. or all officer, agent			YES	No		
	is this case requ	ired to be heard and	determined by	a district court of three	judges pur	suant to title	28 USC §2284	1?
					YES] NO	\boldsymbol{X}	
	Do <u>all</u> of the part	ties in this action, ex	cluding govern	mental agencies of the in Massachusetts res	united stat	es and the C	ommonwealth	of Rule 40.1(d)
	massasimes (governmental agent	,		YES X	No		
	A.	If vas in which div	ision do all of t	he non-governmental	parties resid	de?		
	~	Eastern Division	<u> </u>	Central Division			stern Division	
	В.	If no, in which divi		ority of the plaintiffs o?	r the only p	arties, exclud	ding governme	ental agencie
		Eastern Division	PARTY CA	Central Division		Wes	stern Division	
		of Removal - are there		pending in the state co	urt requirin	g the attentio	n of this Cour	t? (If yes,
	anniik a aehai a	te sileet identifying th	ie monoris,		YES [] NO		

(CategoryForm12-2011.wpd - 12/2011)